



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,511	02/13/2002	Evelyne Delfourne	0512-1005	4068
466	7590	10/09/2003	EXAMINER	
YOUNG & THOMPSON			HABTE, KAHSAY	
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22202			1624	
DATE MAILED: 10/09/2003				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/049,511	DELFOURNE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kahsay Habte, Ph. D.	1624

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 September 2003.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 13 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-8, 10-12 and 14-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 - a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ . |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-8 and 10-16 are pending.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's amendment filed 9/16/03 in response to the previous Office Action (Paper No. 6) is acknowledged. Rejections of claims 1-12 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph (Paper No. 6, paragraphs 4b-4f) and the prior art rejection (Paper No. 6, item 2) have been obviated. The enablement rejection (item 3) and the 35 USC, second paragraph rejection (item 4a) has been maintained. Applicant's amendment also raises the issue of new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 12 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In claim 12, the phrase "an hematological malignancy" lacks descriptive support.

Art Unit: 1624

4. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. There has been recited a method of treating solid tumors and/or an hematological malignancy, but the specification is not enabled. See item 3 (Paper No. 6 for details).

Response to arguments

Applicant's argument filed 09/16/2003 has been fully considered but it is not persuasive.

Applicants argue: "the present disclosure provides extensive amount of data that supports the claims invention." Applicants also indicate that "solid tumors" is supported in the present specification by the extensive series of *in vitro* test on human solid cancer cells. Further applicants argue the enablement rejection by referring to the *in vivo* and *in vitro* test data. The examiner disagrees with applicants for the following reasons:

1. Solid tumors are very broad in nature and the treatment of solid tumors in general is not enabled by the specification. Applicants have to show a scientific publication or any proof that shows that solid tumors in general can be treated. See previous action for details.(item 3).
2. Applicants have amended the claim and added "an hematological malignancy", but said disorder was not present before and also there is no support for said disorder in the specification.

Art Unit: 1624

3. Applicants believe that the *in vitro* test data in the present application (two globalstoma tests; an astrocytoma test; two non-small-cell-lung cancer tests; two colorectal cancers; two breast cancers; two bladder cancers; and a prostate cancer), but this would not cover the whole range of solid tumors (e.g. stomach, kidney, neck and throat, pancreas, urogenital tract, and liver), sarcomas (e.g., soft tissue sarcomas, osteogenic sarcomas, or Kaposi's sarcoma), bone tumors and melanomas. Also benign types of tumors, such as, e.g., angiomas and fibrocytomas would be covered by the invention.

Since the test only represents a fraction of solid tumors, the specification is not enabled for the treatment of solid tumors in general and/or an hematological malignancy.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-8 and 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention:

a. In claim 1, the phrase "groups of type (C₁-C₆) alkyl" is indefinite. What groups are covered and what are not? How is it different from (C₁-C₆) alkyl?

Response to arguments

Applicant's argument filed 09/16/2003 has been fully considered but it is not persuasive.

Applicants have deleted the phrase "groups of type" from the definition of R₇, but applicants have to remove the second phrase "groups of type" from claim 1 and elsewhere in the claims (e.g. In claims 1 and 3, see the definition of R₇).

b. Regarding claim 14, the phrase "includes" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

c. In claims 14 and 16, the term "glioblastomas" is not clear. It should read as "glioblastomas."

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 1624

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kahsay Habte, Ph. D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-4717. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9.00AM- 5:30PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached on 703-308-4716. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.


Kahsay Habte, Ph. D.
Examiner
Art Unit 1624

KH
October 7, 2003


Mark L. Berch
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1624